

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATE. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 10/31/94 UEDA 08/902.985 EXAMINER DEPUMPO, D PAPER NUMBER F1M1/0406 ART UNIT FELIX J D'AMEROSIO P 3 BOX 2266 EADS STATION ARLINGTON VA 22202 ' 2406 DATE MAILED: 03708795 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on_ This application has been examined month(s). A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION Of the above, claims have been cancelled. 3. Claims are rejected. 4. Claims __ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filled with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _______. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ____ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _______, has been __approved; __disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

Serial Number: 08/332,385

Art Unit: 2406

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I, fig. 1;
- II, fig. 3;
- III, fig. 4;
- IV, fig. 5;
- V, fig. 6;
- VI, fig. 7;
- VII, fig. 8;
- VIII, fig. 9; and
- IX, fig. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Serial Number: 08/332,385 -3-

Art Unit: 2406

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0771.

DANIEL G. DePUMPO Primary Examiner Art Unit 2406

dgd March 29, 1995